



On Point

October 2022



...it's October, and the scariest season of all. Not Halloween, but election time. Whatever your preference, voting couldn't be easier. Vote-by-mail is a breeze, but you can also drop your ballot in the secure drop box at Richmond City Hall. It's located in the breezeway between the council chamber and the city hall entrance. And you can track your ballot using the county's online system at: <https://california.ballottrax.net/voter/>. It has been working great for our household and is always nice to know when your ballot is received.

October 26th Meeting: Several issues that were scheduled for the meeting have been postponed, including Brickyard Cove development on old PG&E site and a traffic safety discussion that we hope to have in our Nov./Dec. combined meeting in early December. Public Works Director Joe Leach has left the city and we hope there will be a replacement by then. This will give us time to discuss what we've been working on and what big issues we expect to see next year. Board members will fill you in on their progress and there will be extended time to hear from you, our neighbors.

There are major changes to traffic patterns in the works, many of them revolving around the bike lanes. My hope is to sponsor a few walkabouts with the community so we can have an easier time visualizing.

Leisa Johnson is doing a great job trying to get commitments from the city for staff appearances *and* accountability. Naturally, accountability is up to the city council and staff, but it begins with voices of the neighborhood councils. Those voices are yours.

In lighter news...for the little angels (and devils, and princesses, and ghosts and Ninja Turtles) the PRNC is happy to sponsor PRAM's Halloween party On October 31st. There is a pot luck at 4:30 at the PRAM Fieldhouse at 110 E. Richmond Ave., followed by a costume contest at 5:30, a stroll through the "haunted clubhouse" on site, and then trick or treating in the neighborhood. For more information, go to <https://pointrichmond.org/halloween2022/>

Be sure to visit the AMAZING handmade and lovingly crafted haunted house at 126 Buena Vista Avenue. This year's fun-filled fright is a classic tale of haunts with a nod to Tim Burton, Edward Gory and Charles Addams. It is just scary enough but definitely OK for neighbors of all ages. This labor of love is fun in daylight, but fully animated and lit from sunset until 9:15p.m.



That's all for now, hope to see you on the 26th.

And *fangs* for being part of the community!

Philip Rosenthal

President -- Point Richmond Neighborhood Council

The PRNC and LUDRC are advisory only capacity and cannot approve or deny anything beyond "Recommendations for Development" to the City of Richmond. Our role is to mitigate conflict at the local level.



**Point Richmond Neighborhood Council
General Membership Meeting**
Wednesday, October 26 at 7:30 pm
Virtual Meeting via Zoom (see access info in email)
PointRichmondNeighborhood@gmail.com



AGENDA

7:30	Call to order and approval of minutes from September 28, 2022 meeting	2 minutes
7:32	Police Report, Beat Officer	10 minutes
7:42	President's Report, Philip Rosenthal	5 minutes
7:47	Treasurer's Report, Margi Sullivan	1 minute
7:48	Membership Report, Buzz Baylis	1 minute
7:49	TRAC: Tunnel Sign Options, Bruce Beyaert & Jan Brown	10/15 Minutes
8:14	Community Briefs, Part 1 (2 minutes each) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Masquers Playhouse, Francis Smith, masquers.org• Richmond Neighborhood Coordinating Council, Cordell Hindler, richmondneighbors.org• Richmond Tennis and Hurlbut Benevolent Fund, Garry & Maryn Hurlbut, (510)547-8111• USS Red Oak Victory Ship, Graham Perrett, redoakvictory.us• Women's Westside Improvement Club, Diane Diani, pointrichmond.com/wwic• Table Tennis, Peter Thelin	12 minutes
8:26	Land Use Design Review Committee (LUDRC), Charmain Tyler <i>Projects: none</i> <i>Items to be considered at the LUDRC meeting must be submitted to Rod Satre, Committee Chair, at rdساتre@live.com (510) 232-5059, at least two weeks prior to the General Membership Meeting. The LUDRC meets monthly prior to the PRNC General Membership meeting, at 6:00 pm.</i>	N/A
8:26	Community Briefs, Part 2 (2 minutes each) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• PR CERT, Charmain Tyler, charmain.tyler@gmail.com, (510) 334-7267• Point Richmond Business Association, Cordell Hindler, pointrichmondbusiness.com• Point Richmond History Association, Bonnie Jo Cullison, pointrichmondhistory.org• Arts of Point Richmond, Mary Lee Cole, artsofpointrichmond.com• Magick Lantern, Kate Spaulding, MagickLantern.org, magick-lantern@att.net, (510)232-2559• PRAM, Chrissie Bradley, pram.net• HOA's in Brickyard Cove, Community	14 minutes
8:40	Updates from Board Members / Q&A	10 minutes
8:50	Public Forum (3 speakers, 2 minutes each)	6 minutes
8:56	In Remembrance and Celebration	5 minutes
9:01	Adjourn	





Point Richmond Neighborhood Council

Special Meeting Minutes

Wednesday September 28, 2022

PointRichmondNeighborhood@gmail.com

Present; Phil Rosenthal, Leisa Johnson, Buzz Bayless, Margi Sullivan, Peter Thelin

Speakers; Denee Evan, Richmond Traffic, Eva Mann, Code Enforcement Manager, Nathan Trivers, Melissa Durkin
Republic Urban Properties.

62 attendees

Philip; call to order; Peter; seconded. This is a special meeting convened to discuss two topics: The Up and Under parklet and the PG&E building proposal. The Up and Under is first; *(Most responses have been paraphrased for brevity)*

Philip: The Up and Under has been an important member of our business community for 12 years. They pay taxes. The concerns from the community are safety, the use of public land and community access to the sidewalks. From Public Works we have Denee Evans. This project was taken out of her hands by the previous Public Works Director. Eva Mann, Code Enforcement Manager who deals with permit and issues concerning obstructions and sidewalks.

Denee Evans: Parklets are to be temporary, and it should be enjoyed by community in general. Even if one person is sponsoring it, it should be enjoyed by all. The intent was not to extend a business or a structure permanently. Parklet permits are provisional and are not to be issued for longer than three years. You can ask that it be renewed every year up to three years. If a parklet permit is issued, they have to pay the first year's fee, and every year after and receive an inspection handled by Transportation Department.

Parklet permits can't be issued without an encroachment permit. But an encroachment permit can be issued without a parking permit. Like parklet permits, encroachment permits are also provisional and temporary. They're not extend the square footage of an adjacent property because it's in the right of way. If there's a change in city policy such as bike lanes and other traffic or emergency issues, parklets should be able to be removed within 24 hours.

in 2020 Mr. Trivers came to city for first step in applying for parklet permit. If an applicant can meet the items on the checklist, they will receive both an encroachment permit and a parklet permit and parklet number. Mr. Trivers was the first person to submit a full plan for a parklet, there were changes due to the drainage and planning with the Civil Engineer at the time, Mr. Rogers, and at times, Yoder Bermudez the former Public Works Director also chimed in.

In 2020, there were two programs, one for outdoor seating, open to anyone who had a restaurant anywhere in the city, if they had the adequate sidewalk space. These were emergency temporary permits (for COVID) and handled by the City Manager's office. Also the parklet program, from the sidewalk to the curb and part of Parking and Transportation management, and that's where I came in. Mr. Trivers originally asked for a parklet on Railroad Avenue, but the utility company, PG&E was not happy with that, because it was by utility pole. And there was an issue with a drainage.

We suggested putting the parklet on Richmond Avenue. The parklet was originally smaller than it ended up. An engineer no longer with the city issued a notice to Mr. Trivers to abate the structure. Yoder, the former Public Works director overrode that decision and requested that I refund the Parklet permit fees which removed Transportation from the discussion. Yoder handled the process and encroachment permit for COVID related circumstances and extended it an additional year. I was brought into this discussion when I received a complaint of concerns about traffic and other safety issues and cc'd the appropriate departments: engineering, police and code enforcement.

Now, Mr. Trivers has been asked to submit another parking permit. The encroachment permit the former director issued expired December 31, 2021, without renewal and was already in violation. Mr. Trivers was required to submit another application. I gave him the updated guidance and said that he had to submit a complete packet and we would review. I have yet to receive a completed packet. Instead, I heard that, "I already submitted one two years ago. Why can't I just resubmit, reapply" and then the conversation changed to "Well, I was issued a parklet." And now the last conversation was "I was issued Encroachment Permit but I didn't know I had an Encroachment Permit".

Eva (Mann) can attest that anytime a Building Permit or Encroachment Permit is expired, especially by more than 180 days, you have to start a new one. We provide a grace period through the uniform building codes, zoning codes, electrical codes that we are responsible for. (An applicant) has 180 days to work with us, but we never heard anything from Mr. Trivers within those 180 days.

So, does the community still support the parklet because, the intent of a parklet is to be temporary and in Point Richmond where parking is very limited. The city would like to give the other businesses the opportunity to have a parklet. If you have any questions, I can answer them.

Philip: Nathan has gone on radio mentioning it is a dangerous corner and always has been. It's an AC Transit route, and a concern for the fire trucks. Could you comment on what how the red zone affects things?

Denee: From curb to curb, should be 25 feet free. But there may have been exceptions provided by the public works director at the time (Yoder).

Leisa: What is current status and annual renewal process for encroachment permit? What are notices of violations and have they been addressed or what is needed from a code enforcement perspective?

Denee: Eva, let me just answer the first question. Engineering and I discussed how encroachments are provisional, and we went over the records. The permit Tech explained that usually encroachment permits are issued for a year at a time. But this was an exception and that was renewed for an additional year. But other than that encroachment permits are temporary.

I don't know the exact dates; engineering was supposed to (be in the meeting) this evening. From what I recall the first permit was issued in 2020 and then extended to the end of 2021. There was a notation by the director that it was in consideration of COVID. The city being considerate of the circumstances at the time.

Leisa: What about the current status, any pending notices of violations?

Eva: Currently, the only outstanding violation that exists is regarding the encroachment permit. We have not moved forward or issued a notice of violation. In July 29, 2022 the property owner was cited for four violations; the placement of construction cones in the street – those have been removed. The obstruction of the sidewalk. – that item has been removed. Mr. Trivers applied for the encroachment permit for the parklet so we're waiting for the outcome of that. And one for the use of a barbecue grill that was on the public's right-of-way, and we've resolved that issue.

Philip: I received a video of the barbecue on the corner at the crosswalk.

Eva: I would love to see that video if you have it.

Denee: Engineering has encroachment permit renewal request and are considering approving it on a temporary basis conditional to Mr. Trivers applying for another parklet permit. If it's determined he cannot comply with the terms of the program, or chooses not to, then the encroachment permit will be null and void.

Leisa: Is there a timeframe limit for submission of a parklet permit?

Denee: Three months max, that will give everyone time to review, Transportation and Engineering coordinate on that, we would do site visits and measurements.

Leisa: You mentioned that original approval was based in part on sidewalk program. Is that still active?

Denee: The encroachment permit is usually handled by engineering inspectors. Fire doesn't get involved unless they tell us their (trucks) and apparatus are blocked. I'm the chair for parking and traffic advisory workgroup. The sidewalk program initially was an emergency program for COVID, during the shelter in place. I don't know if it has sunset clause. I haven't heard that it's ended. If Mr. Trivers was issued a parklet permit there would have been an annual inspection by Transportation. However, the parklet process was never completed. So as for encroachment permit, there aren't any annual or periodic inspections. If an encroachment permit or any other permit in the city is issued, and we received a complaint or observe a violation, then the person who's responsible receives a notice and that's what happened. Code Enforcement issued a notice.

Jesse West: My concern is that (the parklet) is scary. An impediment to traffic. I just think in its current configuration, it's dangerous. And my understanding is it violates code.

Leslie: Just yesterday, I had to drive by the Up and Under. There was a delivery truck parked next to the parklet and I had to go into the adjacent lane in order to get around it. The delivery truck and parklet almost took up two lanes.

Janet: I do not drive through Point Richmond much anymore because of this congestion. I'm concerned about encroachment. It interferes with the sidewalk and street. I'm also concerned about ADA issues.

AJ: Have been any accidents or other problems directly resulting from a parklets presence, any record of anything because of the presence of the parklet?

Denee: I can find out and report back to the PRNC members to relay that information to you.

Nathan; The PRNC was not part of the process. I've been to the neighborhood council many times on other subjects. I went to the city in 2020, looking for a lifeline. We were shuttered and I was told what we can do and can't do. I reached out to Denee in 2018 to do a parklet like other cities were doing.

I ended up pulling back because the city said, you need to build it, you need to pay for it, you need insurance. But it needs to be a public space for everybody. Well, I wasn't going to spend thousands of dollars to build something for other people to sit on. As a business man, that's not a smart move. So, I walked away.

Two years later, COVID hit. I went back to Denee and she said, yeah, this is great. There was no process. I worked with the city of Richmond. I did everything that was asked of me. PG&E shut down the project. So, I said, let's move it over to West Richmond and Denee agreed. I wasn't trying to pull a fast one.

My business went from 35 employees to zero. The city said you're the only person who has the gumption to go through this process. So, I build it. Denee gives us a permit. I was issued an encroachment permit that it has some special caveats to it for a year. I just found out about this two weeks ago in an email saying your encroachment permit was never mailed. I got a reimbursement check that I have not cashed because I just thought, this is weird.

It's all about communication of process. This is the first time for us doing it. We're copying and pasting a program from Oakland because we don't know how to do this. I would like to build a permanent structure and Yoder ordered push it through. I am a product of an undefined process. You got denied the process. All my communication from me to the city of Richmond was very clear.

I have no intention to do something over and above the community and beyond. I've prided myself to be committed in a community-based business. There's a lot of people that don't like the pub or don't like me. We can't make everybody happy, but I haven't done anything wrong. I've only done what I've been told to do.

I'm being held accountable to an encroachment permit that expires in 90 days. Why did I get it for a year? Why was I not mailed an encroachment permit? I have 60 plus emails over seven months from the city. That is the fault of the city and their process. Nobody will admit it's their fault because that's not what the city does. They will shuck and jive and hold you accountable to something whether true or not, and this is extremely unfair. Okay, this (parklet) saved the business. I have family and people that now have a job because of this and she has the parklet process and all the information.

Philip: The history of this is cloudy. What we're trying to do is figure out how we can accommodate and move forward.

Garry: Nathan, I want to congratulate you. You've really made something happen here. It's not about what the city did or what Nate did or what the Neighborhood Council did. I'm concerned about the fact that the Up and Under really made a difference downtown. I do think we have a problem with parking and it's a bad intersection. How do we work together and solve some of the issues around the parking and safety? How do we do it right? I think the parklet is a problem, the traffic is a problem. This is a vibrant business that we ought to make work. We have to all work together and the Neighborhood Council could help do that. Let's not get lost in the detail. There's a partnership here about how we make this work for Point Richmond overall?

Nathan: Dane Rogers said we could extend parklet. The smoker (BBQ) isn't on this agenda. The parklet is four feet bigger than a handicap area. So, if we're building it here, we want a couple more feet so it can be stepped up and improved. It was written in Dane Rogers notes. I can't argue because I did everything I was told to do. I didn't secretly change my proposal. It's 40 feet by six feet. The only thing that changed was two feet in height per the engineer to level the parklet, what do you want me to do about that? Why wasn't the Neighborhood Council brought into this? I did the petition and a letter from the PRBA. Why wasn't that sufficient? I mean, this was a time of panic.

Peter: But the people who made those decisions aren't here. We can't speak to them.

Denee: When have any of you experienced the city directing anything in Point Richmond, to not go to the PRNC? Andrew Butt went to PRNC at our urging (for the kaleidoscope parklet) but didn't have the community support and not pursue it. This is the same situation. If the community is supportive of Mr. Triver's parklet application, and if he can comply with the guidelines, I welcome him to do so. He and I have communication that showed the city was trying to help until the parklet became a permanent structure and not a parklet.

Philip: I'd like to toss this over to Charmain, for procedure. I apologize to those hands up that we couldn't hear from.

Charmain: Denee said that Nate should submit the packet for the parklet. Nathan, do you plan on submitting a new packet going forward?

Nathan: No, I do not. She (Denee) has everything I submitted from the same packet from two years ago.

Charmain: Denee, if he does not submit a new parklet packet, where does the city stand?

Denee: My understanding is the new encroachment permit will not be issued and code enforcement will proceed with the administrative penalty.

Charmain: Denee, what input do you want from the citizens of Point Richmond at this point?

Denee: A point of clarification is that this process was not copied from Oakland. I drafted it and I can show you, my notes. But if Mr. Trivers can comply, then we will be happy to issue him a permit today. We are required by ordinance. It

was always a requirement that there should be a complete packet. In this instance, it wasn't completed, because we were all trying to expedite this permit for Mr. Trivers because of COVID.

Charmain: What do you need from the Point Richmond residents?

Denee: We want input from the PRNC and from the Business Association. We updated the ordinance and the section to require more input from the neighbors across the street, we want to make sure that everyone is supportive. If there's a consensus and Mr. Trivers can comply with the guidelines, then that parklet will remain there. It may need to be modified, given all the things I'm hearing this evening, but there's a way to keep it.

Charmain: Do you need minutes from this meeting? What kind of input do you want?

Denee: Usually, I would want some type of evidence that says the community supports the parklet or does not. That is part of the application.

Nathan: My question is why didn't we do this two years ago?

Philip: We're here now and that's all we can do.

Nathan: We all know the structure does not meet guidelines. If you put a parklet on the ground there, you're going to have flooding and other issues. I'm confused why didn't the PRNC get involved? We haven't answered that question.

Philip: Nobody, including this board has asked why the Neighborhood Council was not involved.

Nathan: It's important now. I want to know why the process is got missed. As I said, this is not the email that you sent out to everybody. You can read it. It stated that this is an important process. Why was the Neighborhood Council not involved in this two years ago?

Philip: I believe that my email said we would like to communicate with the city to make sure that we are not overlooked in the future.

Charmain: I suggest we can make a motion to vote. Or we can have further discussion from community. Does the community want another meeting? Or does the community want to make a motion to go forward with a vote now.

Nathan: It's unfair to make the motion. I've spoken for 49 minutes. But there needs to be time to let all 64 participants talk because the five people who did are not the community. If we're going to do this, then let's do it properly. Allocate time to question me and give the city time to pull a traffic report.

Philip: Everybody who raised their hands spoke.

Nathan: There wasn't enough time. You're not ramrodding this through.

Jesse West: I would like to make a parliamentarian point of order. This argument is not going to get us anywhere. I agree that we need more discussion. I don't think that this should be rushed or determined by the fact that too much was scheduled for this meeting when this obviously was going to be a big topic. I also don't want to vote up or down on something that's so nuanced. Let's do this right.

Charmain: I would like to make a motion that we continue this discussion at a further meeting in order to get the specifics about what's needed for the parklet so that we can know what we're voting on.

Margi Sullivan: I second that motion.

Charmain: Okay, all in favor, raise your hand.

Leisa: Wait. I have a process question for Denee. You stated that Public Works is planning to go forward with renewing the encroachment permit. What is the timeline? And would that parklet permit be for another three years or does the two years go towards those three years maximum?

Denee: I would like to have community input on that one, and then talk with city staff.

Charmain: It doesn't have to be a special meeting. Let's just say for now that we will have an additional meeting. The PRNC will have to determine when we can do that.

Philip: All in favor of having an additional meeting, please raise your hand and only paid members can vote. Looks overwhelming from where I sit.

Denee: Can I make one quick comment? The public works director directed me to work with Mr. Trivers on the Parklet permit. That is what I'm willing to do. I don't think that staff needs to attend your next meeting.

Leisa: So, we'll be continuing to a future meeting.

Philip: We want to thank Denee and Eva. It's been a late night and you are all stretched to the limit. And we're very grateful for your participation.

Leisa: Thank you, Denee. Thank you, Eva. Thank you, Nate.

Philip: Now we go on to the PG&E site and I'm going to hand this back to Charmain as she sits on both the LUDRC and the PRNC. We also have the developer present.

Charmain: The LUDC met today and had a presentation by the people developing PG&E site, many neighbors came to meeting, asked questions, but LUDRC is not finished. We talked about the fill and the views from Brickyard Landing from Sea Cliff, guest parking accessibility, retaining walls, and solar on roof. Many neighbors are interested in project. This is 94 units. We want input of the community. The LUDRC could not vote, we have more questions.

A motion was passed to have a special meeting not tied to a PRNC meeting. We cannot approve project when many of the community have not asked questions. We will vote in the future though no date yet. We have a presentation by the developer working on the site for PRNC members to see the latest plans.

Melissa Durkin: I am Senior Vice President of Development with Republic Urban Properties. We've been working for about a year and worked closely with Richmond Design Review Board to refine project. We are pursuing a plan to give us more flexibility to allow a height increase of three feet over the 35-foot limit and allow our third-floor massing to be 100% of the building footprint and allow buildings to have a length over 125 feet. The development being proposed includes 94 residential units in a three-story townhome style product. The density is approximately 15.6 dwelling units per acre, and our building heights are about 38 feet. The goal is to provide high quality homes that are compatible with surrounding community and complement landscape. We welcome any input on this design since you know the community best.

Leisa: As a representative for Brickyard Landing and Sea Cliff I just want to summarize some of the concerns with this project. Both the DRB (the Design Review Board) and the Planning Commission must find all requirements in our zoning ordinance to have been met.

There are 14 requirements and quite a few the community would need to have input on. Height must be consistent with the general plan and is measured from the lowest adjacent grade whether it be man-made or natural. Here, the lowest

adjacent grade is property line which is the natural grade. Your buildings are 60 feet taller relative to lowest adjacent grade, which is 25 feet over the 35-foot height maximum in the general plan.

There is no public transit option being discussed. In addition, this may result in a reduction for all modes of travel and be a detriment to the public health, safety or welfare because developments will add 1000 new residents and there is no safe egress plan in the event of a mandatory evacuation, (which nearly happened one month ago with the fire at Miller Knox Park).

We request a construction traffic study as well as an emergency egress route study and mitigations that will cover not just the construction phase, but in perpetuity for the safety of all residents in Brickyard Cove. The 72k to 88k cubic yards of fill will have significant impacts on surrounding residential and commercial land uses related to traffic safety and timely egress in the event of fire or other emergency requiring mandatory evacuation. Dust, noise and general plan compliance with respect to building heights in violation of part D of the zoning ordinance.

The damaging of our infrastructure with the number of trucks required to drive over both Sea Cliff Drive and Brickyard Cove Road. The community needs a signed road agreement whereby the city finally assumes full ownership of Brickyard Cove Road and requires the developer to fix any damage on both roads.

Lastly, we have only seen one design, no alternatives. How do we know that there has been creativity in the design and the use of the land of a planned area?

The biggest point is that we haven't seen proper analysis that seriously considers alternatives. We don't believe that initial study is sufficient to address 72k to 88k cubic yards of fill and its impacts if we don't have a proper CEQA analysis and an EIR. The city has set the precedent with Terminal One, Sea Cliff and the Shea development of Waterline. There was a full EIR in every case. We want outside peer review, and environmental analysis that will seriously look at and consider project alternatives.

Megan and Dave Bleckinger: We live in Seaview Court directly adjacent to the property between their building 13 and 15. Our biggest concerns are the road and dust, and loss of view. Our property has views mainly to southwest. The developer proposed to increase the land about 15 ft. or more from the current tallest portion next to us and then add 130-foot-tall trees, completely blocking the view. They also have 60-foot-tall trees if you don't like the 130-foot-tall trees, which would also dwarf our property.

Megan: Let's start with 88,000 cubic yards of fill purely to improve the views of the construction. To improve economics of the development with zero consideration of the neighbors and the impact on their property valuations. About three quarters of our view will be gone because they will build in front of us, next to our neighbors. Also, there'll be dirt on my house and my solar panels. It will also impact the exposure to my yard and solar panels and the privacy of our neighbors in general. You add the dirt, the height of the buildings and trees and we are buried behind buildings.

Further we pay for that road, and there will be damage that has not been resolved. Will the future maintenance of that road be funded by this community? Also, story poles have not been installed. We really don't know how high this will be. There are beautiful illustrations, but I'm not buying it. The plants in the plan can be up to 130 feet tall. They are completely inconsistent with any of the landscaping. Lastly, its 100% rental property and inconsistent with the rest of the community. Also, the LUDRC Zoom meeting had a detail change at the last minute and many people could not attend. My husband and I have been on the PRNC, and could not log in. I understand it was a technical error, but you should not have a conversation without the community.

Karen: They're going to have to bring in additional soil to compact the remaining soil. How many loads of additional trucks are going to have to do four trips after the lower soil has been compacted?

Melissa: We have modified the grading plan, to reduce fill by about 16,000 cubic yards. We're working with the city regarding damage to the road. We'll have a survey of the roads. We're also working with them regarding the remaining

portions of Brickyard Cove Road and we'll work with you regarding a fair contribution to have that work completed. We want to work with the neighbors and address any concerns with the trees that we're proposing. We believe we're meeting the CEQA obligations in terms of the environmental document. I understand your concerns and not surprised by anything I've heard. We want to be a good neighbor.

Charmain: Thank you everybody who came to our earlier and this meeting. We will let you know when we can set up another meeting.

Philip: Do we have to move motion to close? Motion passed, Goodnight.

EVERYONE'S 2022 – 2023 MEMBERSHIP DUES WERE DUE MAY 1! The dues help cover our administrative expenses and are \$20 per individual and \$25 per household. If this is a financial hardship, a waiver is gladly granted. Lifetime memberships are \$500. **REMEMBER: you need to be a member to vote!** Pay online via PayPal at www.pointrichmond.org or mail your check & application to: **PRNC; P.O. Box 70386; Pt. Richmond, CA 94807.**

New Renewal Individual Household

Name _____ Date: _____

Mailing Address _____

Telephone _____ e-mail _____



*The next Point Richmond Neighborhood Council meeting is
Wednesday, October 26, 7:30 pm via ZOOM.
PRNC will send access info to members via email.*

POINT RICHMOND
NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
www.pointrichmond.org
P.O. Box 70386
Pt. Richmond, CA 94807